

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,511	02/26/2004	Bruce C. Campbell	86927CPK	6480
7590 08/08/2007			EXAMINER	
Paul A. Leipold Patent Legal Staff			. SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM	
Eastman Kodak 343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			1774	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/787,511	CAMPBELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Betelhem Shewareged	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. Ily be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	23 May 2007.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1.5-11 and 13-27 is/are pending i	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-11 and 13-27</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	.4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) 1,5-11,13-21,26 and 27 is/are reje	ected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Eveminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	·				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in App	olication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	eceived.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Mail Date brown Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mnarratent Application				
	-,					

Application/Control Number: 10/787,511 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774 -

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed on 05/23/2007 has been fully considered. Claims 1, 21 and 26 are amended, claims 2-4 and 12 are canceled, claim 27 is added, and claims 1, 5-11 and 13-27 are pending. (NOTE: Claims 22-25 are withdrawn from consideration as non-elected invention).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-11, 13-21, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wexler (US 6,695,447 B1) as evidenced by Landry-Coltrain et al. (US 6,497,941 B1) in view of King et al. (US 6,902,268 B1).
- 4. Wexler discloses an ink jet recording element comprising a support, an ink carrier liquid receptive layer on the support, a dye trapping layer on the ink carrier liquid receptive layer and an ink transporting layer on the dye trapping layer (abstract). The dye trapping layer is equivalent to the claimed porous ink receptive layer. The combination of the ink carrier liquid receptive layer and the support is equivalent to the claimed porous support of an adjacent layer that is either paper or a voided extruded polymeric film that is extruded, including optional co-extrusion with additional underlying layers in the support, wherein the adjacent layer forms the upper surface of the support

Application/Control Number: 10/787,511

Art Unit: 1774

and is the porous layer contiguous or in contact with the image-receiving layer.

Preferably, if the upper layer is coextruded, the coextruded portion also comprises at least 80%, preferably at least 90% of the thickness of the element. The ink carrier liquid receptive layer and the support of Wexler are described in col. 5, line 19 thru col. 6, line 26, and the evidence has been shown in the reference of Landry-Coltrain. The dye trapping layer comprises polymeric particles having a particle size of 0.1-10um, a binder and mordant (col. 3, line 41 thru col. 4, line 58). The thickness of the dye trapping layer ranges from 2-50um (col. 5, line 15). With respect to the Bristow Test absorption value, it is elementary that the mere recitation of newly discovered function or property, inherently possessed by things in the prior art, does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art. *In re Swinehart et al.*,169 USPQ 226 at 229. Since the Wexler reference teaches all of Applicant's claimed compositional and positional limitations, it is inherent that the reference article function in the same manner claimed by Applicant. The burden is upon Applicant to prove that the subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on.

5. Wexler does not disclose the dye trapping layer is the only layer above the support. However, King teaches an ink jet recording medium comprising a substrate and a single fusible ink receiving layer rather than minimum of two layers known previously (col. 2, lines 18-30). Wexler and King are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the ink jet recording sheet art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the ink transporting layer and the ink trapping layer of Wexler and form a single fusible

Application/Control Number: 10/787,511 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

ink accepting layer because as King suggested, forming a single layer rather than two layers is considerably simpler and cheaper to manufacture (col. 2, lines 30-35).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-21 and 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on MAX FLEX.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,511 Page 5

Art Unit: 1774

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BS

August 5, 2007.

BETELHEM SHEWAREGET